

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/059,586 01/29/2002		1/29/2002	Hermann D. Funke	P-8110	5821
27581	7590	10/29/2004		EXAMINER	
MEDTRON	•	RKWAY NE	EVANISKO, GEO	ORGE ROBERT	
MS-LC340	ONIC PA	KKWAI NE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN	55432-5604	3762		

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/059,586	FUNKE, HERMANN D.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		George R Evanisko	3762				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period fo	or Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·						
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Se	eptember 200 <u>4</u> .					
2a)□	•						
3)□							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>22-39</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>22-39</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
1,1)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) smation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ser No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/03, 11/18/02.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/059,586

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group II in the reply filed on 9/24/04 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 37 is vague since it can not be determined if the radar and transmitter are being positively recited or if the detector is adapted to detect the radar and transmitter. It is suggested to state "wherein said detector is adapted to detect HF radiation produced by at...transmitter".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 22, 23, 29, 30, 36, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Paul et al (5697958).

Art Unit: 3762

Claims 22, 23, 29, 30, 36, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brownlee et al (4091818). Brownlee uses a high frequency detector (elements 42, 31 and 32) for processor (23, 24, and 25) to adjust the pacing rate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Vock (EP 0931566). Vock shows in figure 2, line a, the detection of interference being a high frequency signal.

In the alternative, Vock states that his system is used to detect an interference signal using known techniques (col 4) but does not state that the interference signal is a HF radiation signal from a radar or radio transmitter. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the interference detection pacemaker as taught by Vock, with the detection of an interference signal being a HF radiation signal from a radar or radio transmitter since it was known in the art that interference detection pacemakers detect an interference signal being a HF radiation signal from a radar or radio transmitter in order to provide an indication to the pacemaker to change operation when a HF signal is detected to prevent interference with pacemaker signal processing, sensing, and control.

Application/Control Number: 10/059,586 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R Evanisko whose telephone number is 703 308-2612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George R Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

GRE October 27, 2004